

Polynomial Optimization, SDP strengthening

Recall $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$.

We defined:

$$\bullet f^* = \inf \{ f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$$

$$= \sup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) - \lambda \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \}$$

$$\bullet f_{\text{SDP}}^* = \sup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) - \lambda \in \Sigma^2 \} \leq f^*$$

\hookrightarrow SDP Problem

We now look at a more general class of optimization problems: Polynomial Optimization Problems

$$S(\underline{g}) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_i(x) \geq 0 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m \}$$

$$f^* := \inf \{ f(x) \mid x \in S(\underline{g}) \} =$$

$$= \sup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid f - \lambda \geq 0 \text{ on } S(\underline{g}) \}$$

Proof: (Assuming for simplicity $S(\underline{g})$ compact).

By definition, $f - f^* \geq 0$ on $S(\underline{g})$

Moreover, if $x^* \in S(\underline{g})$ is such that

$f(x^*) = f^*$, then $f(x^*) - f^* - \varepsilon < 0$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$.

(Ex.)

(1)

Goal of polynomial optimization: find
(or approximate) f^* .

Denote $P(S(g)) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in S(g)\}$.

this is a convex cone: $f_1, f_2 \geq 0$ on $S(g)$ and

$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2 \geq 0$ on $S(g)$.

then:

$$f^* = \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid f - \lambda \in P(S(g)) \right\} \rightarrow \text{Convex optimization}$$

↑ linear objective ↑ Conic constraint

Problems $P(S(g)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]$ is infinite dimensional

- $P(S(g))$ is extremely complicated:

- ↳ no effective description.

Goal: find semidefinite inner approximations of
 $P(S(g))$, which live in a finite dimensional
vector space.

We come back to f_{sos}^* , and we assume $\deg f = 2d$.

(if it has odd degree, then $f^* = -\infty$)

Notice that: $f_{\text{sos}}^* = \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid f - \lambda \in \Sigma_{2d, n}^2 \right\}$

→ we can only consider polynomials of $\deg \leq 2d$. (2)

Lasserre - Parrilo SOS hierarchy

Notice that $M(\underline{g}) = \Sigma^2 + \Sigma^2 g_1 + \dots + \Sigma^2 g_m$ is a convex cone, and $M(\underline{g}) \subseteq P(S(\underline{g}))$.

How do we restrict to a finite dimensional vector space? \rightarrow we bound the degrees of the SOS.

Definition the quadratic module (generated by \underline{g})

truncated at degree π is the convex cone

$$M(\underline{g})_{\pi} := \left\{ \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_m g_m \mid \sigma_i \in \Sigma^2, \deg \sigma_0 \leq \pi, \forall i: \deg \sigma_i g_i \leq \pi \right\}$$

$$= \Sigma_{\pi, n}^2 + \Sigma_{\pi - \deg g_1, n}^2 g_1 + \dots + \Sigma_{\pi - \deg g_m, n}^2 g_m.$$

- From the SDP representation of Σ^2 , we can get an SDP representation for $M(\underline{g})_{\pi}$.
- By definition, $M(\underline{g})_{\pi} \subseteq P(S(\underline{g}))$.
- Remark: $M(\underline{g})_{\pi}$ is, in general, properly contained in $M(\underline{g}) \cap \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq \pi}$ (Ex.)

then: for every π , we can substitute $P(S(\underline{g}))$ with $M(\underline{g})_{2\pi}$.

Def the SoS strengthening at order $r \in \mathbb{N}$

of the polynomial optimization problem

$$\min f(x) \text{ s.t. } g_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, g_m(x) \geq 0$$

is defined as:

$$f_{\text{SoS}, r}^* := \sup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid f - \lambda \in \mathcal{M}(g)_r \}$$

\hookrightarrow this can be $\neq f^*$, because $\mathcal{M}(g)_r$ is not closed in general.

Properties (Ex)

$$\bullet \forall r, f_{\text{SoS}, r}^* \leq f_{\text{SoS}, r+1}^*$$

$$\bullet \forall r, f_{\text{SoS}, r}^* \leq f^*$$

this is a general way of finding SDP approx. of general, non-convex, optimization problems.

the SoS hierarchy is the (increasing)

sequence $\{ f_{\text{SoS}, r}^* \}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$

Question: do we have convergence $f_{\text{SoS}, r}^* \rightarrow f^*$?

Theorem If $\mathcal{M}(g)$ is Archimedean, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} f_{\text{SoS}, r}^* = f^*$$

Proof Recall Putinar's theorem:

if $h > 0$ on $S(g)$ and $\mathcal{M}(g)$ is Archimedean,

$$\text{then } h = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_m g_m \in \mathcal{M}(g)$$

$$(\sigma_i \in \Sigma^2) \quad \rightarrow$$

→ Now, for all $\epsilon > 0$ we have (by definition of f^*)

$f - f^* + \epsilon > 0$ on $S(g)$ \Rightarrow there exists

$\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_m \in \Sigma^2$ s.t.

$$f - f^* + \epsilon = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \dots + \sigma_m g_m.$$

Let r be such that $2r \geq \max\{\deg \sigma_0, \deg \sigma_1 g_1, \dots, \deg \sigma_m g_m\}$.

Therefore, if we choose $\lambda = f^* - \epsilon$, we have

$f - \lambda \in \mathcal{H}(g)_{2r}$. Then, by definition of $f_{SOS,r}^*$

$$f_{SOS,r}^* \geq f^* - \epsilon \quad \text{and:}$$

$$0 \leq f^* - f_{SOS,r}^* \leq f^* - (f^* - \epsilon) = \epsilon.$$

In conclusion, for all $\epsilon > 0$ we can find $r = r(\epsilon)$

s.t. $f^* - f_{SOS,r}^* \leq \epsilon$, concluding the proof.

Questions:

- What about the extraction of minimizers?
- What about finite convergence (i.e. $f^* = f_{SOS,r}^*$)?
- What about the dual SDP of $f_{SOS,r}^*$?

→ tomorrow.